UC San Diego Grad Slam 2024: Scorecard

Contestant's Name: **Topic**:

Judge's #: _____   **Time:** _____

Rank in Round
(no ties except at 4th place—circle *one*)

      1st   **2nd**   **3rd**   **4th**

	Excellent		Good		Poor	SCORE:
Clarity: Did the speaker provide adequate background knowledge to make the talk and the importance of the project understandable?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
Organization: Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
Delivery: E.g., pace, enthusiasm, confidence, body language, eye contact, and vocal range.	5	4	3	2	1	/5
Appropriateness: Was the topic and its significance communicated in language appropriate to an intelligent, but non-specialist audience? (For example, did the speaker avoid or explain discipline-specific jargon?)	5	4	3	2	1	/5
Intellectual Significance: Did the speaker explain why her/his project matters (for example, its significance to the academic discipline)?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
Engagement: To what extent did the talk speak to your intellectual curiosity? Did it make you want to learn more about the topic?	5	4	3	2	1	/5
Comments for the presenter (please offer a brief rationale for your *ranking* of this speaker):						**TOTAL SCORE:**